

Palmz Elementary Signage Tree Trimming

NEIGHBORHOOD PURPOSES GRANT PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

Use this form to evaluate the merit of each Neighborhood Purposes Grant application.

Grant Applicant's Name: Motor Avenue Improvement Association

Date: 02/01/2014 Reviewer's
Name: Eli Lipmen, Loren Scott, Terry Robinson

PART I: ELIGIBILITY

1. Is the organization a nonprofit agency with tax-exempt status under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code?
Yes No
2. Do the programs and services of the organization primarily serve residents of your Neighborhood Council area?
Yes No
3. Does the organization have an office/staff located in your Neighborhood Council area?
Yes No

PART II: FUNDING PRIORITIES

1. Which of the following priority areas does the proposed project align with:

<input type="checkbox"/> Education	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Civic Engagement
<input type="checkbox"/> Health	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood Improvements
<input type="checkbox"/> Human Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> Arts/Culture	
2. Does the proposed project primarily serve residents of your Neighborhood Council?
Yes No
3. Will the proposed project be complete within one fiscal year (July 1-June 30)?
Yes No
4. Does the proposed project have a budget of \$5,000 or less (does not require City contract)?
Yes No
5. Does the proposed project have a budget of \$5,001 or more (requires City contract)?
Yes No

6. Does the proposed project have a budget of \$20,001 or more (requires City contract and approval from the Department's General Manager and the Board of Neighborhood Commissioners)?

Yes _____ No X

PART III: CONFLICT OF INTEREST

1. Does any Neighborhood Council board member have a conflict of interest with the grant applicant?

Yes _____ No X

PART IV: SCORING FORM

Instructions: Please use the rating scale below to score the elements in Sections A-E. A score should be assigned to the statement to indicate the extent to which the proposal addresses the corresponding statement.

Rating Scale

1 - Poor	2 - Fair	3 - Good	4 - Excellent
The proposal has serious deficiencies. Not likely to recommend for funding.	The proposal somewhat addresses this element and is lacking some information. Likely to recommend for funding.	The proposal addresses this element in a satisfactory manner and provides adequate information. Recommend for funding.	The proposal fully addresses this element and is well articulated. Strongly recommend for funding.

Score / Max. Score

Section A: Agency Experience

The organization possesses expertise in working with the specified target population(s) and has a history working in the identified target area(s).

4 / Out of 4

Section B: Identified Need

The organization clearly identified the proposed need for the project and provided relevant information on the target population(s) and target area(s) to be served by the project.

2 / Out of 4

Section C: Measurable Objectives and Expected Outcomes

The organization clearly articulated the measurable objective(s) and expected outcome(s) for assessing the progress of the proposed project.

4 / Out of 4

Section D: Project Timeline

The organization clearly described the project activities and necessary steps for completing the proposed project within the indicated period or time.

4 / Out of 4

Section E: Merit of Proposal

The overall merit of the proposal illustrates a project that aligns with one of the primary objectives of the Neighborhood Council : (1) improving the physical infrastructure of the community or, (2) improving community engagement and problem-solving capacity.

2 / Out of 4

Total Points: 15 / Out of 20

Section F: Overall Comments

Please offer the applicant any comments, suggestions or feedback in the areas below.

(Note: This information will be utilized to provide applicants with general feedback on their overall proposal.)

1. Positive aspects of the proposal:

Project supported by PNC in 2010 (tree planting) & 2012 (trimming) Department of Public Works recommends the trees are cut every 2 years for healthy growth; to be above signage & trucks. Some trees already damaged. Motor Ave has permit to trim trees & qualified arborist.

2. Areas for improvement:

The City should be approached first to trim the trees. Costs should be shared with the City, COS, & MAIA

3. General impressions and/or feedback:

Good price though more expensive than last time because there are more trees & dump fees have tripled

Name of Reviewer: Eli Lipman, Loren Scott, 1 Date: 2/1/14
Terry Robinson
51

Budget Committee vote: 2 Yes, 1 No